

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LINDA PEDRAZA, individually and
on behalf of her son, Michael
Pedraza, and FRANCISCO PEDRAZA,
individually and on behalf of his
son, Michael Pedraza,

No C 05-4977 VRW

ORDER

Plaintiffs,

v

ALAMEDA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,
et al,

Defendants.

On December 12, 2008, plaintiff Linda Pedraza ("Plaintiff") filed a statement requesting that the undersigned recuse himself. Doc #153. Plaintiff previously filed the same request, Doc #125 at 9, which the court denied because plaintiff had not enumerated any facts suggesting personal bias or prejudice by the undersigned. Doc #150 at 5. Plaintiff still has not alleged any facts to support a substitution of judge. Accordingly, plaintiff's request for a substitution of judge, Doc #153, is DENIED.

1 Plaintiff also moves for a renotice of all previously
2 terminated "motions, reconsiderations and filings." Doc #153 at 2.
3 Because plaintiff has not obtained leave from the court to file a
4 motion for reconsideration pursuant to Civ L R 7-9(a), plaintiff's
5 motion for reconsideration is not properly before the court and
6 will not be considered. To the extent it was docketed as a motion,
7 the clerk is directed to terminate Doc #153.

8

9

IT IS SO ORDERED.



10
11 VAUGHN R WALKER
12 United States District Chief Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28